SYSTEM, METHOD AND SOFTWARE ARRANGEMENT UTILIZING A MULTI-STRIP PROCEDURE THAT CAN BE APPLIED TO GENE CHARACTERIZATION USING DNA-ARRAY DATA

5

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority to U.S. provisional patent application No. 60/520,819 filed November 17, 2003.

10   ## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a system, method and software arrangement for characterizing a random set of points spanning a high dimensional Euclidean space, but concentrated around special lower dimensional subsets that is useful for an analysis of gene expression patterns, gene identifications and

15   characterizations. The system, method and software arrangement can also be employed to extract information from a wide variety of datasets.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Microarray and gene-chip technologies provide an approach for

20   characterizing transcriptional properties of thousands of genes and studying their interactions simultaneously under many different experimental conditions. However, in many applications the key problem has been statistical noise in the transcriptional data, varying from experiment to experiment and attributable to non-specific hybridization, cross-hybridization, competition, diffusion of the target on the surface,

25   base-specific structural variations of the probe, *etc.* A better understanding of this noise can come from the kinetic analysis of the base-pairing, denaturing, and diffusion processes. However, in the absence of detailed knowledge to deconvolve the measurement data, it is hard to distinguish properly between specific clusters of genes, based on expression intensities data. The purpose of identification (combined with

30   normalization) methods is to compare expression intensities from multiple experiments, and distinguish between a stable subset of genes whose behaviors could

be expected to be already well-modeled (so-called housekeeping genes, rank-invariant genes, or genes with constant expression), and a subset of genes deviating from the stable model (so-called non-housekeeping genes, regulated genes or differentially expressed genes). *See* Yang *et al.*, 2002, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100(3):1122-

5   1127.

        The identification process creates a statistical model of the "main bulk" of the genes (*i.e.*, the stable subset) either through a global statistical analysis of transcriptional expression intensities of all the data, or through a local statistical analysis of similar statistics as a function of the expression range. The genes

10   deviating from the statistics computed via initial identification are then subjected to further analysis to determine their biological characteristics in response to the experimental condition. *See e.g.* Bolstad *et al.*, 2003, *Bioinformatics* 19(2):185-93.

        There is a need for methods and systems that can identify differentially expressed genes from expression data in a data set, particularly from a data set

15   containing data regarding genes expressed under different conditions. Such methods may also be useful for identifying outlying points in any type of statistical data set, where the identified outlying point may represent a meaningful distinction rather than statistical noise.

20   <u>SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION</u>

        In one aspect, methods, software arrangements and systems according to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention are provided that may be used for the analysis of gene expression in a data set to identify statistically meaningful outlying points. In the simplest conceivable setting, it is possible to consider

25   thousands of genes monitored under two different experimental conditions (c_1 and c_2), and the data in a 2-D Euclidean space thought to consist of average over expression intensities for a gene (g) versus a measure of its relative expression intensities. Such measure of the relative expression intensities may take the form of an expression ratio ("ER"), a logarithm of expression ratio ("LER"), a differential expression ratio

("DE"), *etc.* For example, if the intensity values $e_{c_{1,g}}$ and $e_{c_{2,g}}$, then such values may be described by an expression

$$\left\langle \frac{\ln e_{c_{1,g}} + \ln e_{c_{2,g}}}{2}, \ln \frac{e_{c_{2,g}}}{e_{c_{1,g}}} \right\rangle \in R^2.$$

5   According to this exemplary approach it is possible to assume that for a large stable subset of genes any one of these measures of relative expression intensities varies randomly about a mean value from experiment to experiment in a manner which may depend on the different mean values. For instance, the LER may be modeled to have a normal distribution with a variance depending on the local average intensities:

10                                    $$\ln \frac{e_{c_{2,g}}}{e_{c_{1,g}}} \sim N\left(0, \sigma(e_g)^2\right),$$ (1)

where e_g is estimated by $\left(\ln e_{c_{1,g}} + \ln e_{c_{2,g}}\right)/2$. In this manner, the area defined by $|y| \leq 3\sigma(\chi)$ may describe a strip containing 99.73 % of the housekeeping genes.

   In general, the genes belonging to a stable set (*e.g.* housekeeping

15   genes) may be separated, e.g., by a compact region, from the other genes that respond unambiguously to the change in experimental conditions. The boundary of this region is referred to herein as the "strip," and devising a procedure to compute the strip efficiently and accurately is preferably a mathematical problem addressed by the exemplary embodiments described.

20   In a broader aspect of the present invention, the methods systems and software arrangements are provided which address the following mathematical problem: Given a set of points in R^D concentrated around a line, a strip may be obtained around the principal axis of the set, so that the strip can isolate deviating points from the main bulk of points. For this problem, a fast multiscale procedure

25   may be provided, and the quality of the computed strip may be estimated.

          This exemplary mathematical problem may easily be extended to a procedure to find the strip around a best L^2 d-plane, where $1 \leq d < D$. A more general version of this procedure can be used and it can fit a d-dimensional substructure and a

strip around it. The later generalization can be used, when d = 1, in order to both normalize the genes' expression intensities and identify differentially expressed genes. The methods using the procedure described herein may be used for such identification, assuming the data is normalized around the principal axis. *See e.g.*

5   Yang *et al.*, 2002, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100(3):1122-1127.

The exemplary methods, software arrangements and systems herein use a procedure that may construct three different strips in a multiscale fashion. For the first strip *A*, it is ascertained at different scales the procedure controls both the number of points outside it, and also the rate of change of such strip in the direction of the

10   principal axis (e.g., a measure of the strip's complexity). The second strip *R* can maintains at different scales and locations approximately the same ratio between the number of points outside the strip and the total number of points. The third strip *S* can adaptively estimate the standard deviation of the points more precisely, the strip may estimate adaptively the second moments of the distances of the points from the

15   principal axis. This exemplary multiscale approach is capable of balancing between overfitting at small scales and underfitting at large scales. Exemplary methods, software arrangements and systems that use the procedures describe herein may be used to identify and mathematically isolate stable sets of data points in a given dataset from those in the same dataset that deviate from a stable model under various

20   conditions.

In one exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the software arrangement can include a) a first set of instructions operable to receive at least one dataset, and b) a second set of instructions operable to identify the statistically-outlying data points present in the at least one dataset based on the information

25   contained in the at least one dataset. In exemplary embodiments of the present invention for analyzing genetic data, the dataset typically may comprise data associated with levels of gene expression obtained under two different conditions. In certain exemplary embodiments of the present invention, the two different conditions can reflect the occurrence of at least one of a physiological process,

30   pathophysiological process, oncogenic process, mutational process,

pharmacologically-induced process, an immuno-precipitation-induced process, and/or developmental process. For example, the dataset may include a set of N points in R^D.

According to further exemplary embodiments of the present invention, the software arrangement can also include one or more of the following additional instructions: c) a third set of instructions operable to store the at least one dataset in a matrix, d) a fourth set of instructions operable to shift each row of the matrix by a center of mass of the at least one dataset, e) a fifth set of instructions operable to compute a principal axis of the at least one dataset, f) a sixth set of instructions operable to rotate the at least one dataset so that the principal axis coincides with x-axis, and/or g) a seventh set of instructions operable to generate strip functions that define boundaries outside which the statistically-outlying data points in the at least one dataset are located. In other exemplary embodiments of the present invention, the strip functions that identify the statistically-outlying data points present in the dataset may be generated by computing the stopping point F_Q using a top-down procedure. The strip functions can be smoothed by the averaging of the strips generated from more than one determination. In addition or alternatively the computation for the stopping point F_O may be set at $Q' \in D(Q_0)$ if: $F_{Q'} > \alpha_0$ or if $\left| \tilde{Q} \right| < n_0$ or if $\beta_{\tilde{Q}} > \delta_0$ or if $\left| \hat{Q}' \backslash \tilde{Q} \right| > \alpha_1 \left| \tilde{Q}' \right|$, or if $\left| \hat{Q}' \backslash \tilde{Q} \right| > \alpha_1 \left| \tilde{Q}' \right|$. The stopping point in the computation of F_Q can be applied twice.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is an illustration of different parts assigned to the interval Q used by an exemplary embodiment of the method, software arrangement and system according to the present invention.

Figure 2 is an exemplary ROC curve for separating the differentially expressed genes in the synthetic data by the strip $C_\sigma \cdot S$ according to the present invention the dots corresponding to different values of α_2.

Figure 3 is an illustration of an exemplary synthetic data set with a multistrip, generated by exemplary embodiments of the present invention with

"stable" genes denoted by dots, whereas differentially expressed genes are denoted by circles and the multistrip curve being $C_\sigma \cdot S$, where $\alpha_2 = 0.11$.

Figure 4 is an illustration of exemplary logarithmic intensities of Drosophila melanogaster whole adult fly, male vs. female, with two lines corresponding to the two-fold strip, two curves corresponding to the nonsymmetric multistrip F.

Figure 5 is a block and flow diagram of the exemplary embodiment of the method and system according to the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Description of System, Method and Software Arrangement Employing an Exemplary Procedure

  I. Input, preprocessing and output

According to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the main input to the procedure is a set $E = \{\chi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of N points in R^D, where $N \geq D$. Additional input may include the following predefined parameters:

ℓ_0 (integer), n_0 (integer), α_i, $i = 0, 1, 2$ (*reals*), δ_0 (*real*), c_0 (*real*)

and C_1 (real, $C_1 > 1$). The parameters α_i, $i = 0, 1, 2$, can be established by a user according to an expected ratio of differentially expressed genes over a total number of genes.

The procedure may initially store the set E in an $N \times D$ data matrix A, whose rows correspond to the D-dimensional vectors in E. This procedure then may perform (i) the following operations (the notation E and A is maintained for the transformed set and matrix): each row of A is shifted by a center of mass of the set, (ii) "the principal axis", $L \equiv L_E$, of the data set is computed with the principal axis of E being a line spanned by a top right singular vector of a shifted matrix A), and (iii) the set is rotated so that its principal axis coincides with the x axis. Then, an interval $Q_0 = [a_0, b_0)$ of nearly minimal length containing the projection of E is fixed onto L.

The output of the procedure can include three different strip functions, e.g., A, R and S. These are real-valued functions defined on Q_0. The procedure may evaluate the strip functions for all points in $P_L E$, where P_L denotes the projection operator from R^D onto L. The envelopes of the strips can be obtained by rotating the graphs of the corresponding functions around the x-axis (the line L).

II.   Basic Notation and Definitions

The following notation and definitions may be employed in describing the main part of the exemplary procedure.

P_L denotes the projection operator from R^D onto L (e.g., the principal axis of E).

If K is a subset of R^D, $|K| \equiv |K \cap E|$ can denote the number of points of E in K. If Q is an interval, $\ell(Q)$ may denote its length. χ_Q denotes the indicator function of Q:

$$\chi_Q(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in Q; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The procedure may operate on generalized dyadic grids, which can depend on a fixed rule R for partitioning an interval $[a, b)$ into two subintervals: $[a, m)$ and $[m, b)$ where $m = R([a,b))$. Either the median rule: $R(Q) = P_L$ (median of $\bar{Q}$) (as discussed below for the definition of $\bar{Q}$) or the symmetric rule (equivalently midpoint rule), e.g., $R([a,b)) = \dfrac{a+b}{2}$, may be utilized. The generalized grids $D_j(Q_0) \equiv D_j^R(Q_0)$ may be formed as follows. If $j = 0$, then $D_0(Q_0) = \{Q_0\}$. If $j > 0$, $Q = [a,b)$ is an interval in $D_j(Q_0)$ and $m = R([a,b))$, then set

$$Q_L(Q) := [a, m) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_R(Q) := [m, b).$$

Define

$$D_{j+1}(Q_0) = \bigcup_{Q \in D_j(Q_0)} (Q_L(Q) \cup Q_R(Q)),$$

and

$$D(Q_0) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\ell_0} D_j(Q).$$

If Q is an interval in $D(Q_0)$, its extensions $\hat{Q}$ and $\tilde{Q}$ to R^D may be defined by the formula:

$$\hat{Q} = \{\chi \in R^D : P_L \chi \in Q\},$$

and

$$\tilde{Q} = \begin{cases} \{\chi \in \tilde{Q} : dist(\chi, L) \le c_0 \cdot \ell(Q)\}, & \text{if } Q \subseteq Q_0; \\ \hat{Q}_0, & \text{if } Q = Q_0. \end{cases}$$

The "top" part of $\tilde{Q}$ can be defined as follows:

$$T\left(\tilde{Q}\right) = \tilde{Q} \setminus \left(\tilde{Q}_L \cup \tilde{Q}_R\right).$$

If R is any set contained in $\hat{Q}$, then it is possible to define

$$\sigma_R = \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \sum_{\chi_i \in R} dist^2(\chi_i, L)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and } \beta_R = \frac{\sigma_R}{\ell(Q)}.$$

If $Q \in D(Q_0) \setminus \{Q_0\}$, then by P_Q the dyadic parent of Q can be denoted by P_Q according to the grid $D(Q_0)$, and also $P_{Q_0} := Q_0$. may be defined.

Figure 1 illustrates different parts assigned to the interval Q according to the exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

The stopping time construction

The description of the exemplary procedure can be completed by assigning its stopping time criteria. For each $Q \in D(Q_0)$, it is possible to define

$$f_Q = \frac{\left|T(\tilde{Q})\right|}{\hat{Q}} \text{ and } F_Q = \sum_{\substack{Q' \in D(Q_0) \\ Q' \supseteq Q}} f_{Q'}.$$

The procedure may compute F_Q with a top-down procedure: First, it initializes $F_Q \equiv 0$ for all $Q \in D(Q_0)$. Then, this exemplary procedure can apply the reduction formula (from coarse levels to fine levels):

$$F_Q = F_{P_Q} + f_Q$$

While proceeding from top to bottom levels, the procedure may stop at an $Q' \in D(Q_0)$ (together with all of its descendants in $D(Q_0)$) if, e.g., one of the following conditions is satisfied:

1. $F_{Q'} > \alpha_0$. 　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　(2)

2. $\left| \tilde{Q} \right| < n_0$.

3. $\beta_{\tilde{Q}} > \delta_0$ (optional).

4. $\left| \hat{Q}' \setminus \tilde{Q} \right| > \alpha_1 \cdot \left| \tilde{Q}' \right|$ (optional). 　　　　(3)

The first stopping time condition can control the number of points outside the different strips (mainly A). The second condition provides valid estimates in each interval. The third condition controls the "complexity" of the strip A. The fourth condition can be used to obtain several equations that control the number of points outside the different strips (mainly A and S). The last two stopping conditions may be ignored by setting $\delta_0 = c_0$ and $\alpha_1 = 1$, respectively.

According to this procedure, it is possible to denote

$$\Theta = \{Q : Q \text{ is a stopping time interval in } D(Q_0)\}.$$

Q may be partitioned into two different disjoint sets of "good" and "bad" intervals respectively:

$$G = \{Q \in \mathcal{Q} : | \tilde{Q} | \geq n_0 \text{ and } \beta_{\tilde{Q}} \leq \delta_0 \}$$
$$B = \Theta \setminus \Gamma.$$

The strips _A, R_ and _S_

Piecewise constant versions of the different strip functions are described as follows. They use the stopping time criteria described earlier, but differ in the manner they select the parameters to determine the stopping time intervals.

In order to assign A, the procedure may compute for each interval $Q \in Q$ the following number:

$$\gamma \tilde{Q} = \begin{cases} \min\{C_1 . \sigma \tilde{Q}, c_0 . \ell(Q)\}, & \text{if } Q \in G \\ \min\{C_1 . \sigma \tilde{P}_{Q \cap \tilde{Q}}, c_0 . \ell(Q)\}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The values of _A_ can then be set as follows:

$$A(\chi) = \sum_{Q \in Q} \gamma \tilde{Q} . xQ(\chi), \quad \text{for all } \chi \in P_L E.$$

The strip _R_ may be computed, so that at each stopping time interval Q, this strip R may leave a fraction of size α^2 of the points outside the strip. For example, if $Q \in Q$, then

$$\left| \chi : \chi \in \tilde{Q} \text{ and dist}(\chi, L) \geq R(P_L \chi) \right| = \left[\alpha_2 . \left| \tilde{Q} \right| \right] \approx \alpha_2 . \left| \tilde{Q} \right|,$$

where the "floor function" [x] denotes the largest integer smaller or equal to x.

The procedure may compute the strip S as follows:

$$S(\chi) = \sum_{Q \in Q} \sigma \tilde{Q} . \chi Q(\chi)$$

This strip may estimate locally (and e.g., adaptively) the square root of the second moments of the distances of the points of _E_ to the line _L_.

By multiplying _S_ by a certain constant, an approximate version of R^r may be obtained which is less sensitive to noise. More precisely, set $C_\sigma \equiv C_\sigma(\alpha_1) := \sqrt{2} * erfinv(\alpha_2)$, where erfinv is the inverse Erf function

(e.g., an error function for normal distribution). If the assumption provided in equation (1) is correct, then the strip $C_\sigma \cdot S$ can leave out a fraction of size α_2.

The strips A, R and S constructed as described above may be all piecewise constant functions. It is also possible to derive smooth strip functions as follows: First, generate many instances of the corresponding piecewise constant function according to different grids. Then, average these piecewise constant functions over all the instances.

It is possible to apply the stopping time construction twice or to reiterate the whole algorithm. The resulting strips may be less sensitive to highly deviating points than the original strips.

For gene expression data, it may be preferable to use a smoothed version of the strip C' $\cdot$ S' (e.g., $C'=C_\sigma(\alpha_2)$), without reiteration.

<u>Analysis of the strips</u>

By an appropriate selection for the stopping time criteria, the number of points outside the strip A may be controlled at different scales as well as the rate of change of A in the direction of the line L. The relation between the strip A and the strips R and $C_\sigma \cdot S$ are also noted.

The set of ancestors of intervals in Q may be denoted by Π. That is,

$$P = \{P \in D(Q_0) : \exists Q \in Q \text{ such that } P \supseteq Q\}$$

$$P = \{P \in D(Q_0) : \exists Q \in Q \text{ such that } P \supseteq Q\}$$

For any given interval $Q \in P \setminus Q$ the number of points in $\tilde{Q}$ may be defined outside the strip A as

$$m_{\tilde{Q}}(A) := \left\| \left\{ x : x \in \tilde{Q} \text{ and } dist(x,L) \geq A(P_L\, x) \right\} \right\|.$$

Similarly, it is possible to define

$$m_{\tilde{Q}}(A) := \left\| \left\{ x : x \in \tilde{Q} \text{ and } dist(x,L) \geq A(P_L\, x) \right\} \right\|$$

These numbers may be estimated as follows:

For example, it has been shown that for any $Q \in P \setminus Q$:

$$\frac{m_{\tilde{Q}}(A)}{\left|\tilde{Q}\right|} \leq \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{C_1^2} \text{ and } \frac{m_{\hat{Q}}(A)}{\hat{Q}} \leq \alpha_1 + \frac{1}{C_1^2}.$$

5   Extensively performed numerical experiments can lead to the

conclusion that the numbers $m_{\tilde{Q}}(A)$ generally do not depend on the constant C_1

(especially for large scale intervals, $e.g.$, Q_0). Indeed, it is possible define

$$\mu_{\tilde{Q}}(A) := \sum_{\substack{Q' \in Q \,\&\, Q' \subseteq Q \\ C_1^{\sigma} \tilde{Q'} < c_0 . l(Q')}} \left| \{ x : x \in E, P_L \, x \in Q' \text{ and } \right.$$

$$c_0 . l(Q') \geq \text{dist}(x, L) \geq C_1 . \sigma \tilde{Q'} \, \}\right|$$

10   and the following property may be noted:

If there exists a constant $C' \gtrsim 1$ so that

$$\mu_{\tilde{Q}}(A) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{C'}\right) . m_{\tilde{Q}}(A),$$

15   then

$$m_{\tilde{Q}}(A) \leq C' . \alpha_0 . |E| \text{ and } m_{\tilde{Q}}(A) \leq C' . \alpha_1 . |E|. \tag{5}$$

The exemplary procedure may control, at different scales, the rate of

change of the strip A in the direction of the line L, which may be viewed as a

20   complexity of that strip. This property can be formulated as follows:

Assume that for any $Q \in : \beta_{\tilde{P_Q}} \approx \beta_{\tilde{P_Q}} \cap \hat{Q}$ and that the grids are

symmetric (midpoint rule). If Γ is any one of the curves obtained by intersecting the

strip obtained by the function A together with a D-plain containing the line L, then

$$\ell(\Gamma \cup Q) \le (1 + C_1 \cdot \delta_0) \cdot \ell(Q) \text{ for any } Q \in P \setminus Q. \qquad (6)$$

The above estimates apply for the strip A. However, the strips $C_1 \cdot S$ and A may be quite similar (e.g., since the values of the functions A and S may depend on the input constant C_1). Indeed, the strip A can be obtained by first thresholding the

5   points outside $\cup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \bar{Q}$, and then estimating $C_1 \cdot_{\sigma} \cdot \bar{Q}$ for each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Whereas, the

strip S estimates $C_1 \cdot_{\sigma} \cdot \hat{Q}$ for each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. The similarity of A and S thus follows ·

from the stopping time condition stated in equation (3), which controls locally the

differences between $\bar{Q}$ and $\hat{Q}$ (there is an additional assumption which is necessary

for that similarity; see [9]). The similarity of R and $C_\sigma \cdot S$ has been discussed in the

10   previous section, together with the assumptions under which it holds.

As will be appreciated by one of ordinary skill in the art, the methods of the present invention are typically implemented using a software arrangement and/or a system. The software arrangement can be stored on any suitable medium (e.g., memory, hard drive, CD-Rom, et.) for storing instructions for execution of

15   procedures, and then executed by the systems (e.g., one or more computers). In other embodiments, the instructions in the software arrangement can be transmitted by a suitable carrier signal for execution on a computer processor. The software arrangement may include instructions for applying the procedures described herein for analysis of the data in the data set. In certain embodiments, the software arrangements

20   further include instructions for extracting the data from the data set.

According to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the methods and software arrangements described herein are implemented in a system. Figure 5 illustrates a block diagram of an exemplary embodiment of such a system which also shows a data flow therein. The system includes a storage medium 10,

25   which stores the software arrangement described above for implementing the procedure provided herein. The instructions from the software arrangement may be passed to a processor 20 for executing the instructions. In particular exemplary embodiments, the system may be configured to include original data acquisition components, exemplified by a expression array chip 30 that includes the experimental

materials (*e.g.*, hybridization reactions) indicative of gene expression under selected experimental conditions. Gene expression on array chip may be typically indicated by a plurality of different signals (*e.g.*, fluorescence signals) that are detected by a suitable detection system 40. The detected signals from the expression chip 30 can be

5   processed into expression data by a second processor 50, and stored as data set 60. The data in data set 60 may be accessed by the first processor 20 configured with the exemplary software arrangement according to the present invention described herein. The first processor 20 then analyzes the data according to the methods described herein, and may output a result 70 that identifies outlying points indicative of

10   differential gene expression.

Illustration of the method with gene expression datasets

In the Examples that follow, performance of the multi-strip procedure was examined with a synthetic *in silico* gene expression data set, generated under a mixture model combining a stable set of genes with a small number of deviating gene

15   expressions. Additionally, the following two applications to genetic data analysis were tested empirically: (i) an experimental *in vitro* gene expression data set derived from the megaplasmid pSOL1 deficient *C. acetobutylicum* strain M5 relative to WT. Yang *et al.*, 2002, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100(3):1122-1127; and (ii) a gene expression data set examining the sex-biased genes of *D. melanogaster*. *See* Parisi *et*

20   *al.*, 2003, *Science.* 299(5607):697-700.

A.   Synthetic Gene Expression Data

For the purpose of testing the procedure according to the present invention two-dimensional synthetic data samples from several types of Gaussian mixture distributions were employed. The synthetic data was used for demonstration

25   and procedure development purposes only. It should be understood that the choice of two dimensions is for illustrative purposes and that the method can be extended to multiple sample gene-chip experiments in higher dimensions.

The data may be simulated as follows. First, an independent identically distributed sample of 5000 points can be created from a mixture of

30   bivariate normal distributions concentrated around the *x*-axis. This mixture

distribution may be denoted by F_0. Next, indices of 50 up regulated and 50 down regulated genes can be randomly selected. Further, the distributions of both up and down regulated genes with a similar mixture of Gaussians may be convolved with means in the upper half plane and lower half plane, respectively. The resulting

5   distributions can be denoted by F_{up} and F_{down}, respectively.

The class of "stable" genes sampled from the distribution F_0 may be denoted by St, the class of up-regulated genes sampled from the distribution F_{up} can be denoted by Up, the class of down-regulated genes sampled from the distribution F_{down} may be denoted by Do and the set of differentially expressed genes $(Do \cup Up)$ is

10   denoted by Df. After executing the multiscale procedure, the gene expressions that lie outside the strip $C_\sigma.S$ may be identified as differentially expressed, and referred to as positives (or P). Similarly, the genes inside the strip can be referred to as negatives (or N). The set of true (T) and false (F) positives and negatives are set as follows: $TP := Df \cap P$, $FP := St \cap P$, $TN := St \cap N$ and $FN := Df \cap N$. The sensitivity Sns, the

15   specificity Spc and the error Er may be defined as follows:

$$Sns = \frac{TP}{Df}, \quad Spc = \frac{TN}{|St|} \text{ and } Er = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{|FP|}{|St|} + \frac{|FN|}{|Df|} \right).$$

The *ROC* curve shown in Figure 3 is used to demonstrate how well the strip $C_\sigma \cdot S$ separates the differentially expressed genes for different choices of the

20   parameter α_2. The area below the piecewise linear *ROC* curve is 0.78. The error *Er* is minimized when $\alpha_2 = 0.11$. Figure 3 shows an exemplary synthetic data set together with the strip $C_\sigma \cdot S$, where $\alpha_2 = 0.11$.

<div align="center">EXAMPLE 1</div>

B.   Application of procedure to <u>*C. acetobutylicum* Gene Expression Data and</u>

25   <u>Comparison with SNNLerm Algorithm</u>

The procedure as described herein was tested against a procedure of Yang *et al.* (*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2002;**100(3)**:1122-1127), which was developed using a segmental nearest neighbor method of LERs (SNNLerm) for gene expression normalization and identification. The procedure of Yang *et al.* divides the

log mean intensity range into a fixed number of equidistant intervals and compute the mean and standard deviation of LERs for each interval using only nearest neighbor genes. The value of the strip function ("mask") in each interval is determined by the standard deviation. The procedure of Yang *et al.* also assigns confidences to the

5   points in each interval.

A comparison of the SNNLerm identification procedure with the procedure described herein was performed using the glass slide arrays of tissue samples taken from the megaplasmid pSOL1 deficient *C. acetobutylicum* strain M5 relative to WT. Yang *et al.*, 2002, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100(3):1122-1127.

10   Strain M5 is isogenic to WT but lacking the pSOL1 plasmid. Only 169 out of the 178 pSOL1 genes are included in the glass slides. The pSOL1 genes are expected to be expressed with a broad range of levels in WT, but unexpressed in M5. Therefore, the expression ratios of these genes should be characterized as non-differentially expressed and even down-regulated. This classification depends on whether such a

15   deviating gene is actually expressed in WT or not. Six glass arrays were used, which were selected by Yang *et al.* (*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2002;100(3):1122-1127) to produce Table 1. *See* Yang *et al.*, 2002, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100(3):1122-1127 at 1126. Each slide was analyzed separately. After pre-filtering and normalizing each slide by the initial part of the SNNLerm procedure, the strip $C_\sigma \cdot S$ was used for the

20   multiscale algorithm. In order to be able to compare between the two procedures, the value of α_2 was determined in order to obtain the same average fraction (averaged over the six slides) of pSOL1 genes identified by both procedures as differentially expressed over the total number of those genes.

The error of identification specified in equation 9 of Yang *et al.* (*Proc.*

25   *Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2002;100(3):1122-1127) was used. More specifically, the set of pSOL1 genes in each experiment was denoted by *Df* and the complementary set denoted by *St*. Gene expressions that lie outside the assigned strip (or with confidences greater than 95.5 when using the SNNLerm algorithm) are identified as differentially expressed and referred to as positives (or P). The notations *P, N, TP,*

30   *FP, TN* and *FN* are used as in the previous section. Also denote by *DU*, the points of

the set *Df*, which the given algorithm identified as up regulated (that is, above the strip). Ẽr is defined as follows:

$$\tilde{E}r = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{|FP|}{|St|} + \frac{|FN| + |DU|}{|Df|} \right).$$

5   The results are summarized in Table 1. *Df* is less than 169 due to pre-filtering of pSOL1 genes with high background noise. The multiscale procedure performs better than the SNNLerm algorithm for slides numbers: 422, 424, 805, while SNNLerm performs better for slide number: 784. The two procedures are comparable
10   for slides numbers: 783 and 786. Unlike the SNNLerm algorithm, the multiscale procedure is adaptive. In particular, parameter values are independent of the types of microarray experiments (glass, vinyl, plastic).

Numerical Results	Slide 422	Slide 424	Slide 783	Slide 784	Slide 786	Slide 805
Table Count						
$|Df|$	118	127	51	144	119	136
$|St|$	655	645	551	742	653	706
SNNLerm						
$|FP|$	58	47	38	34	37	41
$|FN|$	106	115	47	107	95	111
$|DU|$	1	1	1	0	0	1
$|TP|$	12	12	4	37	24	25
$\bar{E}r$	0.498	0.493	0.505	0.394	0.427	0.441
Multiscale						
$|FP|$	61	43	38	36	32	41
$|FN|$	103	112	47	109	96	108
$|DU|$	1	1	1	0	0	1
$|TP|$	15	15	4	35	23	28
$\bar{E}r$	0.487	0.478	0.505	0.403	0.428	0.430

Table 1: *Comparison of SNNLerm and the Multistrip method for identification of C. acetobutylicum pSOL1 genes in six slides of M5-WT experiments.*

EXAMPLE 2

C.   Application of the method to *D. melanogaster* Gene Expression Data and Sex-Biased Genes

The glass, vinyl, plastic provided herein also was applied to detect sex-biased genes of *Drosophila melanogaster* using one of the many experiments of Parisi *et al.* (*Science* 2003;299(5607):697-700). In this experiment, tissue is taken from adult male versus adult female flies without having removed their reproductive organs (slide is available from the Gene Expression Omnibus under accession GSM2456).

Global gene expression in Drosophila melanogaster has been reported to have an elevated transcription of X-chromosome genes in males due to a dosage-

compensation mechanism. However, unlike in the somatic cells, there is likely no dosage compensation in the germ line and this hypothesis can be tested by comparing expression data in males against expression data in females (of both somatic, germ line and mixed cells).

5   In order to distinguish between male-biased and female-biased genes and also due to the non-symmetric nature of the data, a slight variation of the multiscale procedure was implemented. That is, the procedure was run twice for the two sets of genes in the two half planes bisected by the diagonal of the data. This line was used instead of the principal axis, thus avoiding the initial transformation of the

10   algorithm.

          Parisi *et al.* (*Science* 2003;299(5607):697-700) used the threshold ln 2 to determine the differentially expressed genes (two fold approach). In order to compare their constant strip with the one generated by the exemplary procedure described herein, α_2 was set for each subset (in each half plane) so that the number of

15   genes outside both strip are the same. For the sake of simplicity, the strip R was used. The resulting strip together with the two fold strip are shown in Figure 3.

Conclusions

          The multiscale procedure used by the system, method and software arrangement according to the present invention described herein is a robust, efficient

20   and mathematically innovative way to adaptively analyze data without prescribing assumptions to the data when little prior information is available. Thus, this and other such priorless approaches depart from conventional statistical methods as well as Bayesian methods in that one is no longer required to access a model, or to fit to a model through optimization of a likelihood, expectation, or related functions (*e.g.*

25   MCMC, or MLE methods). Even empirical Bayes methods (Efron *et al.*, 2001, *J. Amer. Stat. Assoc.* 96:1151-1160) cannot reconcile the problems of non-specific hybridization, cross-hybridization, competition, target diffusion, probe-specific complications, *etc.*, that happen at the local level. Any algorithm that pre-determines the localities of the expression level also undermines analysis. In any case, through

30   local spatial adaptability, the focus of this multiscale procedure becomes a low-

complexity representation of the structure in the data without ascribing parametric distributions. *See* Jones, 1990, *Invent. Math.* **102**(1): 1-15; David and Semmes, 1993, *Analysis of and on Uniformly Rectifiable Sets*, Volume 38 of the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Lerman, 2003, *Comm. Pure App. Math.*

5   **56**(9):1294-1365. Furthermore, the complexity of the representation is provably bounded by a "competitive factor" with respect to the best possible representation. Other algorithmic examples of similar approach include CART (Breiman *et al.*, 1983, *Classification and Regression Trees,* Wadsworth, NY), MARS (Friedman, 1992, *Annals of Statistics*, **19**:1-67), MART, variable bandwidth kernel methods (Muller and

10   Stadtmuller, 1987, *Annals of Statistics* **15**(1):182-201), *etc.*

The approach to gene expression data described herein may resolve many important difficulties in comparing poorly understood variations in gene-expression measurements from experiment to experiment. Moreover, the exemplary procedure described herein is provided for analyzing gene expression data to other

15   techniques, and for defining and elucidating genes with putative differential expression as well as methods for normalization and experimental control. *See* Li and Wong, 2001, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **98**(1):31-36; Dudoit *et al.*, 2002, *Statistica Sinica* **12**(1):111-139; Efron *et al.*, 2001, *J. Amer. Stat. Assoc.* **96**:1151-1160; Garrett and Parmigiani, 2003, *The Analysis of Gene Expression Data*, Chapter 16, Springer-

20   Verlag, New York; Yang *et al.* (*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2002;**100**(3):1122-1127; and Newton *et al.*, 2001, *J. Computat. Biol.* **8**:37-52. Three datasets (e.g., one synthesized and two experimental) were examined, and from these examinations it may be concluded that multi-scale approach in its most skeletal form captures the local variations well, even when it has no direct way of modeling the nature of the

25   variation.

The exemplary procedure utilized by the system, method and software arrangement described herein provides several advantages over previous procedures as it is readily adaptable to different types of arrays of different sizes. Therefore, the procedure is more robust than previous approaches. Second, the exemplary procedure

30   runs in time linear in the number of points examined and hence faster than other approaches. Third, the non-parametric approach of the procedure easily adapts to

existing datasets, and does not reinforce artificial assumptions on the distribution of expression intensities. Fourth, the procedure more accurately isolates and identifies variable data points from stable data points in a given dataset, and therefore exhibits a performance superior to other previously disclosed procedures.

5.   While the present disclosure illustrates exemplary embodiments where the methods provided herein are implemented for determination of differential gene expression using a data set of gene expression data, the procedures provided herein are equally applicable to any statistical dataset of information that can be represented in two or more dimensions. The procedure is general enough in nature to be useful in

10   any embodiment where it is desirable to find lower dimensional representations of data in higher dimensions. By way of example, but not limitation, the methods provided herein can be implemented with data sets that contain data concerning financial information, such as trends in stocks, commodities, or currencies under variable condition, where it is desirable to identify unusually deviating items in the

15   data base.

Various publications have been cited herein, the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entireties.